

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,253	07/03/2003	Pieter G. Einthoven	02-0889	4882	
OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY & BROITMAN, P.C. 250 PARK AVENUE SUITE 825 NEW YORK, NY 10177-0899			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TO, TUAN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3663		
•					
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS		12/27/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· :	10/613,253	EINTHOVEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Tuan C. To	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed  The mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Oc	ctober 2006					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19-21,46-54 and 70-73</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7.10,13,14,17,19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 21, 46-54, and the second second</u>	nd 70-73 are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
		by the Evaminer				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/6/0</u> € 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/613,253

Art Unit: 3663

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Upon review of applicant's response dated 10/16/2006, it is noted that a restriction/election is warranted.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
- A. The method of determining maximum <u>acceleration limits</u> for longitudinal or lateral axis of an aeronautical vehicle to include determining <u>maximum</u> allowable vertical inceptor position limits.
- B. The method of determining maximum <u>deceleration limits</u> for longitudinal or lateral axis of an aeronautical vehicle to include determining <u>minimum</u> allowable vertical inceptor position limits.
- 3. The species are independent or distinct because: species A directs to maximum acceleration limits while species B directs to maximum deceleration limits. Species A directs to maximum allowable vertical inceptor position limits while Species B directs to minimum allowable vertical inceptor position limits.
- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the independent claims 1, 21, 46, and 71 are generic.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/613,253

Art Unit: 3663

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## **Conclusions**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Tuan C To

December 14, 2006